



Article

# Oscillation Criteria for Qusilinear Even-Order Differential Equations

Mnaouer Kachout 1,2, Clemente Cesarano 3, Amir Abdel Menaem 4, Taher S. Hassan 5,6,\* and Belal A. Glalah 7,\*

- Department of Computer Engineering, College of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 2440, Saudi Arabia; m.kachout@uoh.edu.sa
- <sup>2</sup> Innov'COM, Sup'Comp, Carthage University, Tunis 1054, Tunisia
- Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, Corso Vittorio Emanuele II, 39, 00186 Roma, Italy; c.cesarano@uninettunouniversity.net
- Electrical Engineering Department, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt; ashassan@mans.edu.eg
- Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 2440, Saudi Arabia
- Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt
- Department of Basic Science, Higher Technological Institute, Tenth of Ramadan City 44629, Egypt
- \* Correspondence: tshassan@mans.edu.eg (T.S.H.); belal.glalah@hti.edu.eg (B.A.G.)

**Abstract:** In this study, we extended and improved the oscillation criteria previously established for second-order differential equations to even-order differential equations. Some examples are given to demonstrate the significance of the results accomplished.

Keywords: oscillation criteria; even-order; quasilinear; differential equation

MSC: 39A10, 39A99, 34K11, 34N05

### 1. Introduction

Various real-world application models incorporate oscillation phenomena; we refer to the works [1,2] for models from mathematical biology where oscillation and/or delay actions may be expressed using cross-diffusion terms. This paper examined the study of nonlinear functional differential equations since these equations are relevant to a number of practical issues, including non-Newtonian fluid theory and the turbulent flow of a polytrophic gas in a porous media; see, e.g., the papers [3–11] for more details. Therefore, we were interested in the oscillatory criteria of the quasilinear differential equation of even-order

$$y^{(n)}(s) + p(s)|y(\phi(s))|^{\beta-1}y(\phi(s)) = 0, \quad s \in [s_0, \infty), \ s_0 \ge 0,$$
 (1.1)

where  $n \geq 2$  is an even integer,  $y^{(j)}(s) := \left(y^{(j-1)}\right)'(s)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  with  $y^{(0)}(s) := y(s)$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , p(s) and  $\phi(s)$  are positive continuous functions on  $[s_0, \infty)$ , satisfying  $\lim_{s \to \infty} \phi(s) = \infty$ , and  $\phi(s) := \min\{s, \phi(s)\}$  is nondecreasing on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . By a solution of Equation (1.1), we mean a nontrivial real-valued function  $y \in C^1[T, \infty)$  with  $T \in [s_0, \infty)$  such that  $y^{(j)} \in C^1[T, \infty)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$  and y(s) satisfies Equation (1.1) on  $[T, \infty)$ . We consider only those solutions y(s) of Equation (1.1), which satisfy  $\sup\{|y(s)|: s \geq T\} > 0$  for all  $T \in [s_0, \infty)$ . We shall not investigate solutions that vanish in the neighborhood of infinity. A solution y(s) of Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is said to be non-oscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory, see [12]. In the following, we present some oscillation criteria for differential equations that will be relevant to our oscillation criteria



Citation: Kachout, M.; Cesarano, C.; Abdel Menaem, A.; Hassan, T.S.; Glalah, B.A. Oscillation Criteria for Qusilinear Even-Order Differential Equations. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 2782. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11122782

Martin Bohner

Received: 9 May 2023 Revised: 13 June 2023 Accepted: 15 June 2023 Published: 20 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 2 of 11

for (1.1) and expound the fundamental contributions of this paper. Fite [13] constructed an oscillatory criterion of the linear equation of second-order

$$y''(s) + p(s)y(s) = 0, (1.2)$$

and proved that, if

$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \infty,\tag{1.3}$$

then (1.2) is oscillatory. This result was also established by Wintner [14] without making the assumption that p(s) > 0. Hille [15] improved criterion (1.3) and obtained that if

$$\liminf_{s \to \infty} s \int_{s}^{\infty} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > \frac{1}{4}, \tag{1.4}$$

then (1.2) is oscillatory. Nehari [16] presented the oscillatory behavior of Equation (1.2) and obtained that if

$$\liminf_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_{s_0}^s \mu^2 p(\mu) \, d\mu > \frac{1}{4}, \tag{1.5}$$

then (1.2) is oscillatory. Erbe [17] generalized the Hille-type criterion (1.4) to the delay equation

$$y''(s) + p(s)y(\phi(s)) = 0, \quad \phi(s) \le s,$$
 (1.6)

and showed that if

$$\liminf_{s \to \infty} s \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(\mu)}{\mu} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > \frac{1}{4}, \tag{1.7}$$

then (1.6) is oscillatory. Ohriska [18] proved that, if

$$\limsup_{s \to \infty} s \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(\mu)}{\mu} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > 1, \tag{1.8}$$

then (1.6) is oscillatory.

We direct the reader to the relevant results [19–35] and the references cited there. It should be noted that the contributions of Fite [13], Hille [15], Ohriska [18], and Wintner [14] strongly motivated the research in this paper. The aim of this paper was to extend some oscillation criteria for even-order quasilinear functional differential Equation (1.1) in the cases when  $\beta \geq 1$ ,  $\beta \leq 1$ ,  $\phi(s) \leq s$ , and  $\phi(s) \geq s$ . All subsequent inequalities are implicitly supposed to eventually hold. In other words, they are fulfilled for all sufficiently large s.

## 2. Main Results

This section begins with the subsequent preliminary lemmas. The following essential lemma is attributed to Kiguradze [36].

**Lemma 1** (see [36]). Let y(s) be a function whose derivatives up to order (n-1) inclusive are all absolutely continuous and have a constant sign. Assume that  $y^{(n)}(s)$  is eventually of one sign and not identically zero. Then, there is an integer  $m \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$  with m+n odd for  $y^{(n)}(s) \leq 0$ , or with m+n even for  $y^{(n)}(s) \geq 0$  such that

$$y^{(h)}(s) > 0 \quad \text{for } h = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$
 (2.1)

and

$$(-1)^{m+h}y^{(h)}(s) > 0$$
 for  $h = m, m+1, ..., n$ , (2.2)

eventually.

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 3 of 11

**Lemma 2.** If (1.1) has an eventually positive solution y(s) and  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n-1\}$  is offered as in Lemma 1 such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied for  $s \in [s_0, \infty)$ , then for  $u, v \in [s_0, \infty)$  and l = 0, 1, ..., m,  $\frac{y^{(m-l)}(v)}{(v-u)^l}$  is strictly decreasing for  $v \in (u, \infty)$  and

$$y^{(m-l)}(v) \ge y^{(m)}(v) \frac{(v-u)^l}{l!}$$
 for  $v \in [u, \infty)$ . (2.3)

**Proof.** From (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain for  $v \ge u \ge s_0$ ,

$$y^{(m-1)}(v) = y^{(m-1)}(u) + \int_{u}^{v} y^{(m)}(\mu) d\mu,$$

which implies that

$$y^{(m-1)}(v) \ge y^{(m)}(v)(v-u). \tag{2.4}$$

By replacing v by  $\mu$  in (2.4) and integrating with respect to  $\mu$  from u to v, we arrive at

$$y^{(m-2)}(v) \ge y^{(m-2)}(u) + \int_u^v y^{(m)}(\mu)(\mu - u) d\mu \ge y^{(m)}(v) \frac{(v - u)^2}{2!}.$$

Continuing with this approach, one can easily achieve the desired inequality (2.3). By virtue of (2.4), we have  $\frac{y^{(m-1)}(v)}{v-u}$  is strictly decreasing for  $v > u \ge s$ . Therefore,

$$y^{(m-2)}(v) \ge y^{(m-2)}(u) + \int_u^v \frac{y^{(m-1)}(\mu)}{\mu - u}(\mu - u) d\mu \ge \frac{(v - u)}{2} y^{(m-1)}(v).$$

Consequently,  $\frac{y^{(m-2)}(v)}{(v-u)^2}$  is strictly decreasing for  $v>u\geq s$ . Continuing with this ap-

proach, one can reasonably conclude that  $\frac{y^{(m-l)}(v)}{(v-u)^l}$  is strictly decreasing for  $v>u\geq s$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$ 

Following that, we present the following notations:

$$\gamma := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad 0 < \beta \le 1, \\ \beta, & \text{if} \quad \beta \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
 (2.5)

and for any  $s \in [s_0, \infty)$  and for  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n-1\}$ , the functions  $p_j(s)$ , j = n-1, n-2,...,m, are defined by the following recurrence formula:

$$p_{j}(s) := \begin{cases} p(s), & j = n, \\ \int_{s}^{\infty} p_{j+1}(\mu) \, d\mu, & j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$
 (2.6)

provided that the improper integrals converge.

**Lemma 3.** If (1.1) has an eventually positive solution y(s) and  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n-1\}$  is offered as in Lemma 1, such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied for  $s \in [s_0, \infty)$ , then for  $s \in [s_0, \infty)$  and l = m, m+1, ..., n-1,

$$p_l(s) < \infty \quad and \quad (-1)^{l+1} y^{(l)}(s) \ge p_l(s) y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)).$$
 (2.7)

**Proof.** By using Lemma 1, we obtain that y(s) is strictly increasing on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . Hence, from (1.1) we get for  $s \in [s_0, \infty)$ ,

$$-y^{(n)}(s) = p(s)y^{\beta}(\phi(s)) \ge p_n(s)y^{\beta}(\phi(s)). \tag{2.8}$$

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 4 of 11

Replacing *s* by  $\mu$  in (2.8), integrating from *s* to  $v \in [s, \infty)$ , and by (2.2), we have

$$y^{(n-1)}(s) \geq -y^{(n-1)}(v) + y^{(n-1)}(s) \geq \int_{s}^{v} p_{n}(\mu) y^{\beta}(\varphi(\mu)) d\mu$$
$$\geq y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)) \int_{s}^{v} p_{n}(\mu) d\mu.$$

Therefore, let  $v \to \infty$ ; we can deduce that

$$y^{(n-1)}(s) \ge y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)) \int_{s}^{\infty} p_{n}(\mu) d\mu = p_{n-1}(s) y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)),$$

which implies  $p_{n-1}(s) = \int_s^\infty p_n(\mu) d\mu < \infty$ . Integrating again from s to v, and using (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$-y^{(n-2)}(s) \geq y^{(n-2)}(v) - y^{(n-2)}(s) \geq \int_{s}^{v} p_{n-1}(\mu) y^{\beta}(\varphi(\mu)) d\mu$$
$$\geq y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)) \int_{s}^{v} p_{n-1}(\mu) d\mu.$$

Hence, as  $v \to \infty$ , we have

$$-y^{(n-2)}(s) \ge p_{n-2}(s)y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)),$$

which implies  $p_{n-2}(s) = \int_s^\infty p_{n-1}(\mu) \ d\mu < \infty$ . Continuing with this approach, one can easily achieve the desired inequality (2.7). Therefore, the conclusion holds.  $\Box$ 

The first theorem is a Fite–Wintner-type oscillation criterion for the Equation (1.1).

Theorem 1. If

$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume that (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution y on  $[s_0,\infty)$ . Without loss of generality, let y(s)>0 and  $y(\phi(s))>0$  on  $[s_0,\infty)$ . From Lemma 1, it follows that there is an odd integer  $m\in\{1,3,\ldots,n-1\}$  such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied for  $s\in[s_1,\infty)$  for some  $s_1\in[s_0,\infty)$ . In view of Lemma 3 with l=n-1, we see that  $p_{n-1}(s)=\int_{s_0}^\infty p(\mu)\ \mathrm{d}\mu<\infty$  on  $[s_1,\infty)$ . This contradicts (2.9); therefore, the proof is complete.  $\square$ 

**Example 1.** Consider the quasilinear differential equation of even-order (1.1) with  $p(s) = \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}}$ ,  $\alpha \leq 1$ . It is easy to see that (2.9) holds. Therefore, by Theorem 1, (1.1) is oscillatory if  $\alpha \leq 1$ .

In the next results, we will assume that the improper integrals are convergent. Otherwise, we see that (1.1) oscillates in accordance with the preceding theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *If for each an odd integer*  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n-1\}$ ,

$$\limsup_{s \to \infty} s^m \int_s^\infty \left( \frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{u^{\gamma}} \right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > m!, \tag{2.10}$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume that (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution y on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, let y(s) > 0 and  $y(\phi(s)) > 0$  on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . From Lemma 1, it follows that there is an odd

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 5 of 11

integer  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n-1\}$  such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied for  $s \in [s_1, \infty)$  for some  $s_1 \in [s_0, \infty)$ . In view of Lemma 3 with l = m + 1, we obtain that for  $s \in [s_1, \infty)$ ,

$$y^{(m+1)}(s) \le -p_{m+1}(s) y^{\beta}(\varphi(s)). \tag{2.11}$$

Integrating (2.11) from s to v, we obtain

$$\int_{s}^{v} p_{m+1}(\mu) \ y^{\beta}(\varphi(\mu)) \ d\mu \le y^{(m)}(s) - y^{(m)}(v) \le y^{(m)}(s). \tag{2.12}$$

From Lemma 2 with l=m, v=s, and  $u=s_1$ , we have that  $\frac{y(s)}{(s-s_1)^m}$  is strictly decreasing on  $[s_2,\infty)$  for some  $s_2 \in (s_1,\infty)$ . If  $\beta \leq 1$ , we get for  $s \in [s_2,\infty)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{y^{\beta}(\varphi(s))}{y(s)} &= \left[\frac{y(\varphi(s))}{y(s)}\right]^{\beta} y^{\beta-1}(s) \\ &\geq \left(\left[\frac{\varphi(s)-s_1}{s-s_1}\right]^m\right)^{\beta} y^{\beta-1}(s) \\ &= \left(\frac{(\varphi(s)-s_1)^{\beta}}{s-s_1}\right)^m \left(\frac{y(s)}{(s-s_1)^m}\right)^{\beta-1} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{(\varphi(s)-s_1)^{\beta}}{s}\right)^m \left(\frac{y(s_2)}{(s_2-s_1)^m}\right)^{\beta-1}, \end{split}$$

whereas if  $\beta \ge 1$ , using y'(s) > 0 on  $[s_2, \infty)$ , we get for  $s \in [s_2, \infty)$ ,

$$\frac{y^{\beta}(\varphi(s))}{y(s)} \geq \left[ \left( \frac{\varphi(s) - s_1}{s - s_1} \right)^m \right]^{\beta} y^{\beta - 1}(s)$$
$$\geq \left[ \left( \frac{\varphi(s) - s_1}{s} \right)^m \right]^{\beta} y^{\beta - 1}(s_2).$$

Now, setting l = m, v = s, and  $u = s_1$  in (2.3), we have for  $s \in [s_2, \infty)$ ,

$$y(s) \ge \frac{(s-s_1)^m}{m!} y^{(m)}(s).$$

Let  $0 < \varsigma < 1$  be arbitrary. There exists a sufficiently large  $s_{\varsigma} \in [s_2, \infty)$  such that for  $s \in [s_{\varsigma}, \infty)$ ,

$$\frac{y^{\beta}(\varphi(s))}{y(s)} \ge \zeta \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(s)}{s^{\gamma}}\right)^{m},\tag{2.13}$$

and

$$y(s) \ge \zeta \, \frac{s^m}{m!} y^{(m)}(s). \tag{2.14}$$

It follows from (2.13) and (2.14), and y' > 0 that

$$y^{\beta}(\varphi(\mu)) \ge \zeta \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}}\right)^{m} y(s) \ge \zeta^{2} \frac{s^{m}}{m!} \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}}\right)^{m} y^{(m)}(s), \tag{2.15}$$

for  $\mu \in [T, \infty)$  and  $T \in [s_c, \infty)$ . Using (2.15) in the inequality (2.12), we achieve that

$$\varsigma^2 s^m \int_s^v \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}}\right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le m !.$$

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 6 of 11

By means of  $0 < \varsigma < 1$  is arbitrary, we get

$$s^m \int_s^v \left(\frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma}\right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \; \mathrm{d}\mu \leq m \; !.$$

Letting  $v \to \infty$ , we have

$$s^m \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma}\right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le m!,$$

and so

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty} s^m \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}}\right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le m!.$$

This contradicts (2.10); therefore, the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

The next result deals with the Hille-type oscillation criterion of (1.1).

**Theorem 3.** *If for each an odd integer*  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n-1\}$ ,

$$\liminf_{s \to \infty} s^m \int_s^\infty \left( \frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}} \right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > \frac{m!}{4}, \tag{2.16}$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume that (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution y on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, let y(s) > 0 and  $y(\phi(s)) > 0$  on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . From Lemma 1, it follows that there is an odd integer  $m \in \{1, 3, \ldots, n-1\}$  such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied for  $s \in [s_1, \infty)$  for some  $s_1 \in [s_0, \infty)$ . Define

$$w(s) := \frac{y^{(m)}(s)}{y(s)}. (2.17)$$

Hence,

$$w'(s) = \frac{y^{(m+1)}(s)}{y(s)} - \frac{y^{(m)}(s)y'(s)}{y^2(s)}.$$

In view of Lemma 3 with l = m + 1, we see that

$$y^{(m+1)}(s) \le -p_{m+1}(s) y^{\beta}(\phi(s)).$$

Hence,

$$w'(s) \le -p_{m+1}(s) \frac{y^{\beta}(\phi(s))}{y(s)} - w(s) \frac{y'(s)}{y(s)}.$$
 (2.18)

Setting l = m - 1, v = s and  $u = s_1$  in (2.3), we have for  $s \in [s_2, \infty)$ ,

$$y'(s) \ge \frac{(s-s_1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} y^{(m)}(s).$$

As demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 2, for each  $0 < \varsigma < 1$ , there is a  $s_{\varsigma} \in [s_1, \infty)$  such that for  $s \in [s_{\varsigma}, \infty)$ ,

$$\frac{y'(s)}{y(s)} \ge \zeta \, \frac{s^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} w(s),\tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\frac{y^{\beta}(\varphi(s))}{y(s)} \ge \zeta \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(s)}{s^{\gamma}}\right)^{m}.$$
 (2.20)

Mathematics 2023. 11, 2782 7 of 11

Substituting (2.19) and (2.20) into (2.18), we get for  $s \in [s_{\varsigma}, \infty)$ ,

$$w'(s) \le -\zeta \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(s)}{s^{\gamma}}\right)^{m} p_{m+1}(s) - \zeta \frac{s^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} w^{2}(s).$$
 (2.21)

Now, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $T \in [s_{\epsilon}, \infty)$  such that

$$\frac{s^m w(s)}{m!} \ge B - \epsilon \quad \text{fors} \in [T, \infty), \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$B:= \liminf_{s\to\infty} \frac{s^m w(s)}{m!}, \quad 0 \le B \le 1.$$

In view of (2.21) and (2.22), we have

$$w'(s) \le -\zeta \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(s)}{s^{\gamma}}\right)^{m} p_{m+1}(s) - \zeta m! (B - \varepsilon)^{2} \frac{m}{s^{m+1}}. \tag{2.23}$$

Integrating (2.23) from s to v, we deduce that

$$w(v) - w(s) \le -\zeta \int_s^v \left(\frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}}\right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) d\mu - \zeta m! (B - \epsilon)^2 \int_s^v \left(\frac{-1}{\mu^m}\right)' d\mu.$$

Considering the fact that w > 0, and taking to the limits as  $v \to \infty$ , we get

$$\varsigma \int_{s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}} \right)^{m} p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le w(s) - \varsigma \, m! (B - \epsilon)^{2} \frac{1}{s^{m}}. \tag{2.24}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.24) by  $\frac{s^m}{m!}$ , we find that

$$\zeta \frac{s^m}{m!} \int_s^\infty \left( \frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}} \right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le \frac{s^m}{m!} w(s) - \zeta \, (B - \epsilon)^2.$$

Taking the lim inf of the previous inequality as  $s \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\zeta}{m!} \liminf_{s \to \infty} s^m \int_s^{\infty} \left( \frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}} \right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le B - \zeta \, (B - \epsilon)^2.$$

By means of  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \varsigma < 1$  being arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{m!} \liminf_{s \to \infty} s^m \int_s^{\infty} \left( \frac{\varphi^{\beta}(\mu)}{\mu^{\gamma}} \right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le B - B^2.$$

We can easily achieve the desired result,

$$\liminf_{s\to\infty} s^m \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma}\right)^m p_{m+1}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le \frac{m!}{4}.$$

This contradicts (2.16); therefore, the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

As a direct result of Theorems 1, 2, and 3, we can find oscillation criteria for the Equation (1.1) when n = 2, i.e., for the second order equation

$$y''(s) + p(s)|y(\phi(s))|^{\beta - 1}y(\phi(s)) = 0.$$
(2.25)

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 8 of 11

**Corollary 1.** *The equation* (2.25) *is oscillatory, provided one of the following conditions holds:* 

(a)  $\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \infty$ 

**(b)** 
$$\limsup_{s\to\infty} s \int_s^\infty \frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > 1;$$

(c) 
$$\liminf_{s\to\infty} s \int_s^\infty \frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma} p(\mu) d\mu > \frac{1}{4}$$
.

**Example 2.** Consider a second-order quasilinear differential equation,

$$y''(s) + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt[3]{s^4}} \sqrt[3]{y(\lambda s)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s \in [s_0, \infty),$$
 (2.26)

where  $\lambda, \alpha > 0$ . Here, n = 2,  $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $p(s) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt[3]{s^4}}$ , and  $\phi(s) = \lambda s$ . Now,

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty} s \int_s^\infty \frac{\phi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma} p(\mu) \ \mathrm{d}\mu = \alpha \sqrt[3]{\lambda} \limsup_{s\to\infty} s \int_s^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mu^2} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{\lambda},$$

and

$$\limsup_{s \to \infty} s \int_{s}^{\infty} \mu^{\beta - \gamma} p(\mu) d\mu = \alpha \limsup_{s \to \infty} s \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu}{\mu^{2}} = \alpha.$$

Employment of Corollary 1, Part (b) means that (2.26) is oscillatory if

$$\alpha > \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\lambda}}, & if \quad 0 < \lambda \le 1, \\ 1, & if \quad \lambda \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

For the Equation (1.1) with  $n \ge 4$ , we get further oscillation criteria as seen below.

## Corollary 2. Let

either 
$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(\mu) d\mu = \infty \quad or \quad \int_{s_0}^{\infty} p_{n-2}(\mu) d\mu = \infty. \quad (2.27)$$

Then, (1.1) with  $n \ge 4$  is oscillatory provided one of the following conditions holds:

(a) 
$$\limsup_{s\to\infty} s^{n-1} \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma}\right)^{n-1} p(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu > (n-1)!$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{(a)} & \limsup_{s \to \infty} s^{n-1} \int_s^\infty \left( \frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma} \right)^{n-1} p(\mu) \; \mathrm{d}\mu > (n-1) \; !; \\ \textit{(b)} & \liminf_{s \to \infty} s^{n-1} \int_s^\infty \left( \frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma} \right)^{n-1} p(\mu) \; \mathrm{d}\mu > \frac{(n-1) \; !}{4}. \end{array}$$

**Proof.** Assume that (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution y on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, let y(s) > 0 and  $y(\phi(s)) > 0$  on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . From Lemma 1, it follows that there is an odd integer  $m \in \{1, 3, ..., n - 1\}$  such that (2.1) and (2.2) hold for  $s \in [s_1, \infty)$  for some  $s_1 \in [s_0, \infty)$ . We claim that (2.27) yields that m = n - 1. If  $1 \le m \le n - 3$ , then for  $s \ge s_1$ 

$$y^{(n)}(s) < 0, y^{(n-1)}(s) > 0, y^{(n-2)}(s) < 0, y^{(n-3)}(s) > 0.$$
 (2.28)

Since y(s) is strictly increasing on  $[s_1, \infty)$  then for sufficiently large  $s_2 \in [s_1, \infty)$ , we have  $y(\phi(s)) \ge y(\phi(s)) \ge L > 0$  for  $s \ge s_2$ . Thus, the Equation (1.1) becomes

$$-y^{(n)}(s) = p(s)|y(\phi(s))|^{\beta-1}y(\phi(s)) \ge L^{\beta}p(s) = L p_n(s).$$

Integrating the above inequality from *s* to  $v \in [s, \infty)$  and then letting  $v \to \infty$ , we get

$$y^{(n-1)}(s) \ge L^{\beta} \int_{s}^{\infty} p_n(\mu) d\mu = L^{\beta} p_{n-1}(s).$$
 (2.29)

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 9 of 11

It is known from Theorem 1 that  $p_{n-1}(s) < \infty$ . Let  $\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(\mu) d\mu = \infty$ . By integrating (2.29) from  $s_2$  to  $s \in [s_2, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$y^{(n-2)}(s) - y^{(n-2)}(s_2) > L^{\beta} \int_{s_2}^{s} p_{n-1}(\mu) d\mu$$

which implies that  $\lim_{s\to\infty} y^{(n-2)}(s) = \infty$ , which contradicts  $y^{(n-2)} < 0$  on  $[s_2, \infty)$ . Let  $\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p_{n-2}(\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \infty$ . By integrating (2.29) from s to  $v \in [s, \infty)$  and letting  $v \to \infty$  and using (2.2), we obtain

$$-y^{(n-2)}(s) \ge L^{\beta} \int_{s}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(\mu) d\mu = L^{\beta} p_{n-2}(s).$$

Again integrating from  $s_2$  to  $s \in [s_2, \infty)$ , we have

$$y^{(n-3)}(s_2) - y^{(n-3)}(s) \ge L^{\beta} \int_{s_2}^{s} p_{n-2}(\mu) d\mu$$

which implies that  $\lim_{s\to\infty} y^{(n-3)}(s) = -\infty$ , which contradicts  $y^{(n-3)} > 0$  on  $[s_2, \infty)$ . This shows that if (2.27) holds, then m = n - 1. The remainder of the proof is the same as those for Theorems 2 and 3 when m = n - 1 and so can be omitted.  $\square$ 

**Example 3.** Consider a fourth-order quasilinear delay differential equation

$$y^{(4)}(s) + \frac{24}{s^3} \sqrt[3]{y^2(s/2)} \operatorname{sgn} y(s/2) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \in [s_0, \infty),$$
 (2.30)

Here n = 4,  $\beta = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $p(s) = \frac{24}{s^3}$ , and  $\phi(s) = \frac{s}{2}$ . Now

$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} p_{n-2}(\mu) d\mu = \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{12}{s^2} ds \right) d\mu = \infty,$$

and

$$\liminf_{s\to\infty} s^{n-1} \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{\varphi^\beta(\mu)}{\mu^\gamma}\right)^{n-1} p(\mu) \ \mathrm{d}\mu = 6 \limsup_{s\to\infty} s^3 \int_s^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mu^4} = 2.$$

Employment of Corollary 2, Part (b) means that (2.30) is oscillatory.

#### 3. Discussions and Conclusions

- Several Fite–Wintner–Hille–Ohriska-type criteria that can be applied to even-order quasilinear functional differential Equation (1.1) are presented in this paper. These results extend prior contributions to second-order differential equations with deviating arguments and cover the extant classical criteria for ordinary differential equations. For more details on how our findings extend known relevant thoughts to the secondorder differential equations, see the details below:
- (1) Condition (2.16) reduces to (1.4) in the case where n = 2,  $\beta = 1$ , and  $\phi(s) = s$ ;
- (2) Condition (2.16) reduce to (1.7) in the case when n = 2 and  $\beta = 1$ ;
- (3) Condition (2.10) reduces to (1.8) under the assumptions that n = 2 and  $\beta = 1$ .
- It will be important to derive the Nehari-type oscillation criterion (1.5) of the evenorder differential equation (1.1).

**Author Contributions:** Project administration, C.C.; Conceptualization, T.S.H.; Software, M.K.; Validation, B.A.G.; Formal analysis, M.K. and B.A.G.; Investigation, A.A.; Writing—original draft, T.S.H.; Resources, A.A.; Writing—review & editing, C.C.; Supervision, T.S.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 10 of 11

**Acknowledgments:** This research has been funded by Deputy for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education through Initiative of Institutional Funding at University of Ha'il - Saudi Arabia through project number IFP-22 172.

Funding: This research received no external funding

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. Frassu, S.; Viglialoro, G. Boundedness in a chemotaxis system with consumed chemoattractant and produced chemorepellent. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2021**, 213, Art. 112505, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2021.112505.

- 2. Li, T.; Viglialoro, G. Boundedness for a nonlocal reaction chemotaxis model even in the attraction-dominated regime. *Differ. Internal Equations* **2021**, *34*, 315–336.
- 3. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Li, T. Oscillatory behavior of second-order half-linear damped dynamic equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2015**, 254, 408–418.
- 4. Bohner, M.; Hassan, T.S.; Li, T. Fite-Hille-Wintner-type oscillation criteria for second-order half-linear dynamic equations with deviating arguments. *Indag. Math.* **2018**, *29*, 548–560.
- 5. Bohner, M.; Li, T. Oscillation of second-order *p*—Laplace dynamic equations with a nonpositive neutral coefficient. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2014**, *37*, 72–76.
- Bohner, M.; Li, T. Kamenev-type criteria for nonlinear damped dynamic equations. Sci. China Math. 2015, 58, 1445–1452.
- Li, T.; Pintus, N.; Viglialoro, G. Properties of solutions to porous medium problems with different sources and boundary conditions. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 2019, 70, 1–18.
- 8. Zhang, C.; Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Li, T. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations with noncanonical operators. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2015**, *38*, 761–778.
- 9. Agarwal R.P.; Bazighifan O.; Ragusa M.A. Nonlinear neutral delay differential equations of fourth-order: Oscillation of solutions. *Entropy* **2021**, 23, 129.
- 10. Benslimane O.; Aberqi A.; Bennouna J. Existence and uniqueness of weak solution of p(x)—Laplacian in Sobolev spaces with variable exponents in complete manifolds. *Filomat* **2021**, *35*, 1453–1463.
- 11. Jia X.Y.; Lou Z.L. The existence of nontrivial solutions to a class of quasilinear equations. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, Volume 2021, Article ID 9986047, 10 pages.
- 12. Hassan, T.S.; Kong, Q.; El-Matary, B.M. Oscillation criteria for advanced half-linear differential equations of second order. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 1385.
- 13. Fite, W.B. Concerning the zeros of the solutions of certain differential equations. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1918**, *19*, 341–352.
- 14. Wintner, A. A criterion of oscillatory stability. Quart. Appl. Math. 1949, 7, 115–117.
- 15. Hille, E. Non-oscillation theorems. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1948, 64, 234–252.
- 16. Nehari, Z. Oscillation criteria for second-order linear differential equations. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1957, 85, 428-445.
- 17. Erbe, L. Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear delay equations. Canad. Math. Bull. 1973, 16, 49–56.
- 18. Ohriska, J. Oscillation of second order delay and ordinary differential equations. Czech. Math. J. 1984, 34, 107-112.
- 19. Karpuz, B. Hille–Nehari theorems for dynamic equations with a time scale independent critical constant. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2019**, *346*, *336*–*351*.
- 20. Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S.; Peterson, A.; Saker, S.H. Oscillation criteria for half-linear delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Nonlinear Dynam. Sys. Th.* **2009**, *9*, 51–68.
- 21. Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S.; Peterson, A.; Saker, S.H. Oscillation criteria for sublinear half-linear delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Int. J. Differ. Equ.* **2008**, *3*, 227–245.
- 22. Saker, S.H. Oscillation criteria of second-order half-linear dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2005**, 177, 375–387.
- 23. Zhang, C.; Li, T. Some oscillation results for second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2013**, 26, 1114–1119.
- 24. Baculikova, B. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear noncanonical differential equations with deviating argument. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2019**, *91*, 68–75.
- 25. Grace, S.R.; Hassan, T.S. On the oscillation certain fourth order nonlinear dynamic equations with a nonlinear middle term. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2016**, *8*, 429–436.
- 26. Bazighifan, O.; El-Nabulsi, E.M. Different techniques for studying oscillatory behavior of solution of differential equations. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **2021**, *51*, 77–86.
- 27. Qaraada, B.; Bazighifan, O.; Nofal, T.A.; Ali, A.H. Neutral differential equations with distribution deviating arguments: oscillation conditions. *J. Ocean. Eng. Sci.* **2022**, *in press*.
- 28. Almarri, B.; Ali, A.H.; Al-Ghafri, K.S.; Almutairi, A.; Bazighifan, O.; Awrejcewicz, J. Symmetric and non-oscillatory characteristics of the neutral differential equations solutions related to *p*—Laplacian operators. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 566.

Mathematics 2023, 11, 2782 11 of 11

29. Almarri, B.; Ali, A.H.; Lopes, A.M.; Bazighifan, O. Nonlinear differential equations with distributed delay: some new oscillatory solutions. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 995.

- 30. Bazighifan, O.; Ali, A.H.; Mofarreh, F.; Raffoul, Y.N. Extended approach to the asymptotic behavior and symmetric solutions of advanced differential equations. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 686.
- 31. Almarri, B.; Janaki, S.; Ganesan, V.; Ali, A.H.; Nonlaopon, K.; Bazighifan, O. Novel oscillation theorems and symmetric properties of nonlinear delay differential equations of fourth-order with a middle term. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 585.
- 32. Hassan, T.S.; Cesarano, C.; El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Anukool, W. Improved Hille-type oscillation criteria for second-order quasilinear dynamic equations. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 3675.
- Hassan, T.S.; El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Abdel Menaem, A. Amended criteria of oscillation for nonlinear functional dynamic equations of second-order. *Mathematics* 2021, 9, 1191.
- 34. Chatzarakis, G.E.; Moaaz, O.; Li, T.; Qaraad, B.: Oscillation theorems for nonlinear second-order differential equations with an advanced argument. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 160, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02626-9.
- 35. Džurina, J.; Jadlovská, I. A sharp oscillation result for second-order half-linear noncanonical delay differential equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theo.* **2020**, *46*, 1–14.
- 36. Kiguradze, I.T. On oscillatory solutions of some ordinary differential equations. Soviet Math. Dokl. 1962, 144, 33–36.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.