Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Behavioural Brain Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbr

Short communication

# Stress induces region specific alterations in microRNAs expression in mice

Arianna Rinaldi<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Sara Vincenti<sup>a</sup>, Francesca De Vito<sup>a</sup>, Irene Bozzoni<sup>a</sup>, Alberto Oliverio<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Carlo Presutti<sup>a</sup>, Paola Fragapane<sup>d,\*</sup>, Andrea Mele<sup>a,b,c,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Genetica e Biologia Molecolare, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Centro di Ricerca in Neurobiologia "D. Bovet", Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Istituto di Neuroscienze, CNR-CERC, Roma, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Istituto di Biologia e Patologia Molecolare, CNR, Roma, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 October 2009 Received in revised form 3 November 2009 Accepted 6 November 2009 Available online 11 November 2009

Keywords: Acute stress Chronic stress Restraint Frontal cortex Hippocampus MicroRNA

#### ABSTRACT

Several studies have demonstrated that exposure to both acute and chronic aversive stimuli can affect neural activity in different brain areas. In particular it has been shown that stressful events can induce not only short-term changes in neural transmission and gene regulation, but also long-term changes that can lead to structural modification. In this study we investigated, in CD1 mice, the effects of single or repeated exposures to restraint stress (2 h for 1 or 5 consecutive days) in the frontal cortex on a crucial class of gene expression regulators, the microRNAs (miRs).First we performed a microarray profiling on RNA extracted from the frontal cortex of mice exposed to acute or repeated restraint stress. The results indicated a prominent increase in the expression levels of different miRs after acute stress while only minor changes were observed after repeated restraint. The Northern blot analysis on selected miRs of the selected miRs on RNA extracted from the hippocampus of stressed mice demonstrated that such changes were region specific, as no differences were observed in the hippocampus. These data suggest that control of mRNA translation through miRs is an additional mechanism by which stressful events regulates protein expression in the frontal cortex.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Acute and chronic stressful stimuli elicit a variety of behavioral responses that range from increased alertness and vigilance to effects on cognitive processes. When stressors exceed individual threshold, such responses can lead to maladaptive behaviors manifested in humans as depressive symptoms, anxious states or alterations in learning and memory [2,24,30]. In particular it has been suggested that while the effect induced by acute stress might be indicative of an adaptive change meant to restore normal physiological conditions, the response to repeated unpredictable and uncontrollable stressors leads to a long-lasting inadequate modification of brain function that could be the basis for stress-related disorders [12,20].

\*\* Corresponding author at: Dipartimento di Genetica e Biologia Molecolare, Centro di Ricerca in Neurobiologia "D. Bovet", Università di Roma "La Sapienza", P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy. Tel.: +39 06 4991 2244; fax: +39 06 4991 2800.

andrea.mele@uniroma1.it (A. Mele).

Restraint stress is one of the most commonly used animal models of stress and it has been described to modulate plasticity-related neuronal processes that might play a role in stress-induced vulnerability to psychiatric diseases. For example, acute restraint induces increased transcription of c-fos [7,22] and Arc in the brain [25]. Changes in the expression levels of adhesion molecules [27,32], neurotrophins [1], synaptobrevin [11], synapsin I [1] and synaptophysin [34] have been reported after chronic restraint. Interestingly, chronic restraint also induces inhibition of adult neurogenesis [26] and morphological changes, such as alterations in spine densities and dendritic branching [6,9,18], thus suggesting that the long-lasting behavioral consequences of repeated exposure to stressors might be related to structural plasticity [21].

In addition to control of transcription and proteins transport to synapses, local dendritic protein synthesis is emerging as a crucial mechanism of synaptic plasticity [14,28,29], thus its regulation might be critical for stress response. Recently a class of small noncoding RNA molecules, microRNA (miRs), with functions in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression, has been identified. Interestingly, many neuronal miRs are localized to actively translating polyribosomes in dendrites, where they may control translation of dendrite-specific mRNAs [15]. Despite the fact that miRs have proved to be important players in the control of diverse biological processes [4,16], studies of miRs functional role in the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Istituto di Biologia e Patologia Molecolare, CNR, Sez. Acidi Nucleici, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy.

E-mail addresses: paola.fragapane@uniroma1.it (P. Fragapane),

URL: http://www.andreamele.it (A. Mele).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Centre for Integrative Physiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

<sup>0166-4328/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2009.11.012

vertebrate nervous system are still limited and mainly centered on developmental processes. However miRs, by regulating protein translation, might play a pivotal role in experience dependent plasticity in the mature brain and therefore in the response to stressors. Recent reports provided interesting evidence in support of this hypothesis. Indeed it has been showed that miR-18a downregulates the glucocorticoid receptor *in vitro* [31,33] and that stress hyper-responsive rats present higher expression of this miR and lower levels of glucocorticoid receptor than normal rats in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus [31].

The main purpose of the present study was to investigate the effects of restraint stress on miRs expression in the frontal cortex (FC), a key neuroanatomical substrate of stress response [5,8].

23 CD1 male mice (Charles River, Calco, Italy), 10-12 weeks old were housed in groups of 3-5 in standard breeding cages and maintained in a temperature-controlled animal facility  $(21 \pm 1 \circ C)$ , with a light/dark cycle of 12 h (light on at 7.00 a.m.) and ad libitum access to food and water. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines on the ethical use of animals set by the European Community Council Directive. Mice were randomly assigned to four different conditions: naive, acute stress, repeated stress, acute stress + 5d. Naive mice were left undisturbed in their home cage until sacrifice. Acute stress mice were subjected to a single 2 hrestraint session in a black plastic tube (diameter: 3 cm, length: 10 cm, with several holes to allow breathing). Repeated stress mice were subjected to 2 h-restraint session/day, for 5 consecutive days. All mice were sacrificed 24h after the last restraint session. A further group, acute stress + 5d mice was subjected to a single 2 hrestraint experience and sacrificed 5 days later. This latter group was added in order to verify whether the effects observed after acute stress were stable or only transient. All mice were tested and sacrificed between 10.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m. and groups were counterbalanced across days. Immediately after sacrifice, brains were rapidly removed, and the structure of interest dissected. Samples were collected in Trizol (Invitrogen, Italy) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated from mouse brain tissue using Trizol (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's recommendations. RNA quantification was made by Nanodrop ND1000 spectrophotometer. RNA quality was assessed by gel electrophoresis.

As a first step we performed miRs microarray analysis on total RNA obtained from the FC of naive, acutely and repeatedly stressed mice, in order to identify candidate miRs regulated by stress. The microarray was run in four technical replicates on a single biological replication for each group (see supplemental material). We observed several alterations of miRs expression (Fig. 1 supplemental material). Overall wider changes were found after acute then repeated restraint stress. Moreover increases in miRs expression level were prevalent compared with decreases.

Based on the expression changes revealed by the microarray, we selected a subset of miRs for further quantitative analysis on a larger sample of mice. In particular we selected four miRs (out of seven) showing a variation with log ratio higher than 1.2 (9, 26b, 30b and let-7a) and a miR showing only a marginal increase (125a) (Table 1 supplemental material). Consistently with previous report [31], miR-18a expression levels in the FC were below detection limits both in basal conditions as well as after stress.

Northern blot analysis of miRs was performed as previously described [10]. Shortly, RNA samples (10 µg each) were separated on a 10% polyacrylamide, 7 M urea and TBE gel, electroblotted onto a Hybond-N+membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, US) and UV-crosslinked. Oligonucleotide probes correspond to miR sequences at the miR registry (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Rfam/mirna/index.shtml). As miR-26a and miR-26b differ by only one nucleotide, the corresponding probes cannot reliably distinguish between the two miRs. The same holds true for miR-30b and miR-30c. Thus in the present study we referred to them as miR-26a/b and miR-30b/c respectively.

Probes were labeled using T4 polynucleotide kinase and [a-32P]ATP. Hybridization was done at 37 °C in 0.1% SDS,  $5 \times$  Denhardt and  $6 \times$  SSPE overnight. Membranes were washed once at RT with  $6 \times$  SSPE and then at 37 °C for 30 min. Signals were read by Amersham Typhoon 9200 phosphoimager and densitometry was performed with IMAGEQUANT software (Amersham). Membranes were stripped by boiling in 0.1% SDS and rehybridized up to 6 times without observing decreases in quality or intensity of radioactive signals. U2 and U6 RNA were used as loading controls. miRs signals were normalized to corresponding U2 signals for each sample. Samples whose U2 levels were not reliably distinguishable from background were excluded from further analysis.

As reported in Fig. 1 the Northern blot analysis confirmed a significant modulation of the expression of most of the selected miRs after exposure to acute stress. Repeated measure ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for the repeated factor miR ( $F_{(4,64)} = 13.026$ , P < 0.0001) and a miR by stress condition interaction ( $F_{(12,64)} = 3.463$ , P = 0.0006), but no main effect for the factor



**Fig. 1.** miRs expression in the frontal cortex after acute and repeated stress. (A) Representative Northern blot analysis of let-7a, miR-9, miR-26a/b, miR-30b/c, miR-125a, U2 and U6 RNA expression levels in acute stress [A], repeated stress [R], acute stress +5d [A+5d] and naive [N] mice. (B) Quantitative analysis of miRs expression levels (*n* = 5 per group). Data were normalized to U2 RNA levels and expressed as fold change relative to naive. Bars represent mean ± SE. \**P* < 0.05 *vs* N; \*\**P* < 0.01 *vs* N.

stress condition ( $F_{(3,16)} = 1,513$ , P = 0.25). In particular a significant increase of the expression of let-7a ( $t_8 = 3.376$ , P = 0.01), miR-9 ( $t_8 = 3.457$ , P = 0.009) and miR26a/b ( $t_8 = 3.023$ , P = 0.016) was observed in acutely stressed mice as compared to naive mice. After repeated restraint or 5 days after acute stress miR-9, let-7a and miR-26a/b expression was not significantly different from basal level, though the latter still slightly increased. These results suggest that acute stress modulates quickly miRs expression, but its effects are not long lasting. Finally the Northern blot analysis confirmed a marginal change in the expression level of miR-125a, more evident five days after acute stress ( $t_8 = 2.248$ , P = 0.055). Among the selected miRs only miR-30b/c expression was not modulated by stress.

The increased expression of miRs we observed in the present study is consistent with the genomic response observed by different authors after stress [1,23] and further suggests that stress-induced neural plasticity might depend not only upon transcriptional changes, but also on miRs mediated post-transcriptional regulatory processes, conceivably at the dendritic level.

Then we sought to investigate whether the alterations of the selected miRs were specific for the FC. In light of the pivotal role of the hippocampal formation in modulating stress response, we measured the effects of single or repeated restraint events on the expression of the selected miRs in this region. Surprisingly no major differences were found in the level of these miRs among the different experimental groups (Fig. 2), as confirmed by statistical analysis (ANOVA: stress condition  $F_{(3,16)} = 0.789$ , P = 0.518; miR  $F_{(4,12)}$  = 8.688, P<0.0001; stress condition by miR interaction  $F_{(4,64)}$  = 1.203, P = 0.301). We observed a slight increase in the expression of miR-9 in acutely stressed mice as compared to naive, but this was only marginally significant ( $t_8 = 2.274$ , P = 0.053). It should be noted that we looked at changes in a specific population of miRs and at a specific time point, therefore, it can not be excluded that the analysis of different time windows or miRs could reveal miR expression changes also in the hippocampal complex. Nevertheless these results indicate that stress-induced alterations of the selected miRs were not only temporally, but also spatially defined.

The pattern of basal expression of miRs in the brain could provide useful information on their specific regulatory function in the different brain regions in adult mice [3,13]. Thus we analyzed the relative expression levels of the selected miRs in the FC and the hippocampus as well as in structures that have not been associated with stress, such as the striatum, the cerebellum and the superior colliculus, in naive mice. In this experiment we verified also the expression level of miR-20 as negative control, being not expressed in the brain [17]. Northern blot analysis showed that miRs basal expression levels vary considerably among the different areas (Fig. 3), confirming recent characterizations of miRs expression in adult mouse brain [3,13]. Overall miRs expression appears to be higher in the cerebellum and the superior colliculus than in all other regions. On the contrary, the FC shows low levels of miRs, while intermediate levels were observed in the hippocampus and in the striatum (Fig. 3). This pattern was particularly clear for let-7a, miR-9, and miR-30b/c, while no major regional differences were observed for the other miRs. We found very low levels of expression for miR-26a/b and miR-125a. Indeed their hybridization signal in some regions was very close to detection levels. This result differs from previous reports [3], however different methods of detection, the limited population of mice used in this experiment or strain differences could partially account for this discrepancy. Finally, miR-20 was barely detectable in all regions, consistently with previous reports showing very low expression levels of this miR in the brain [17].

To our knowledge this is the first study reporting stress-induced modulation of miRs expression *in vivo*. Overall the most interesting finding was that a stressful experience induces a transient increase of selected miRs expression in the FC. Moreover such changes were region specific, as no alteration of miRs levels were observed in the hippocampus in the same mice.

The effects found in the FC after acute stress confirm the prominent role played by this structure in the response to aversive events [5,8]. In light of the possible role of miRs in the inhibition of dendritic protein synthesis, the low level of miRs expression found in basal condition in the FC might indicate a lose control over localized protein synthesis in this region. In agreement with the executive functions attributed to the FC [19], changes of miRs levels after restraint stress might allow frontal neurons to quickly respond to external stimuli by changing their synaptic efficacy through regulation of localized protein translation.

The physiological meaning of these results will need further investigations correlating these findings with mRNA and protein



**Fig. 2.** miRs expression in the hippocampus after acute and repeated stress. (A) Representative Northern blot analysis of let-7a, miR-9, miR-26a/b, miR-30b/c, miR-125a, U2 and U6 RNA expression levels in acute stress [A], repeated stress [R], acute stress + 5d [A+5d] and naive [N] mice. (B) Quantitative analysis of miRs expression levels (*n* = 5 per group). Data were normalized to U2 RNA levels and expressed as fold change relative to naive. Bars represent mean ± SE.



**Fig. 3.** Differential expression of selected miRs in different brain regions. (A) Representative Northern blot analysis of let-7a, miR-9, miR-26a/b, miR-30b/c, miR-125a, U2 and U6 RNA expression levels in the striatum [S], the cerebellum [C], the frontal cortex [FC], the superior colliculus [SC] and the hippocampus [H] of naive mice. (B) Quantitative analysis of miRs expression levels (*n* = 3 per group). Data were normalized to U2 RNA levels. Bars represent mean ± SE.

expression levels, nevertheless, the modulation of this novel class of non-coding RNAs by aversive experiences provides an interesting perspective for the study of these molecules as new pharmacological targets for stress-induced pathophysiological responses.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank C. Mannironi and M.E. De Stefano for the useful discussion and the comments on a draft of the manuscript. The present study has been supported by P.R.I.N. grants from M.I.U.R. (to A.O. to A.M.), grants DCMC and SaC from A.S.I. (to A.O. and A.M.) and a RSTL grant from CNR (to P.F.).

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2009.11.012.

### References

- Alfonso J, Frick LR, Silberman DM, Palumbo ML, Genaro AM, Frasch AC. Regulation of hippocampal gene expression is conserved in two species subjected to different stressors and antidepressant treatments. Biol Psychiatry 2006;59:244–51.
- [2] Anisman H, Zacharko RM. Depression as a consequence of inadequate neurochemical adaptation in response to stressors. Br J Psychiatry Suppl 1992;15:36-43.
- [3] Bak M, Silahtaroglu A, Moller M, Christensen M, Rath MF, Skryabin B, et al. MicroRNA expression in the adult mouse central nervous system. RNA 2008;14:432–44.
- [4] Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 2004:116:281–97.
- [5] Cerqueira JJ, Mailliet F, Almeida OF, Jay TM, Sousa N. The prefrontal cortex as a key target of the maladaptive response to stress. J Neurosci 2007;27: 2781–7.
- [6] Cook SC, Wellman CL. Chronic stress alters dendritic morphology in rat medial prefrontal cortex. J Neurobiol 2004;60:236–48.
- [7] Cullinan WE, Herman JP, Battaglia DF, Akil H, Watson SJ. Pattern and time course of immediate early gene expression in rat brain following acute stress. Neuroscience 1995;64:477–505.
- [8] Czéh B, Perez-Cruz C, Fuchs E, Flügge G. Chronic stress-induced cellular changes in the medial prefrontal cortex and their potential clinical implications: does hemisphere location matter? Behav Brain Res 2008;190:1–13.
- [9] Donohue HS, Gabbott PL, Davies HA, Rodríguez JJ, Cordero MI, Sandi C, et al. Chronic restraint stress induces changes in synapse morphology in stratum lacunosum-molecular CA1 rat hippocampus: a stereological and threedimensional ultrastructural study. Neuroscience 2006;140:597–606.

- [10] Fazi F, Rosa A, Fatica A, Gemetti V, De Marchis ML, Nervi C, et al. A minicircuitry comprised of microRNA-223 and transcription factors NFI-A and C/EBPalpha regulates human granulopoiesis. Cell 2005;123:819–31.
- [11] Gao Y, Bezchlibnyk YB, Sun X, Wang JF, McEwen BS, Young LT. Effects of restraint stress on the expression of proteins involved in synaptic vesicle exocytosis in the hippocampus. Neuroscience 2006;141:1139–48.
- [12] Heim C, Nemeroff CB. The impact of early adverse experiences on brain systems involved in the pathophysiology of anxiety and affective disorders. Biol Psychiatry 1999;46:1509–22.
- [13] Hohjoh H, Fukushima T. Expression profile analysis of microRNA (miRNA) in mouse central nervous system using a new miRNA detection system that examines hybridization signals at every step of washing. Gene 2007;391:39–44.
- [14] Kelleher RJ, 3rd, Govindarajan A, Tonegawa S. Translational regulatory mechanisms in persistent forms of synaptic plasticity. Neuron 2004;44:59–73.
- [15] Kim J, Krichevsky A, Grad Y, Hayes GD, Kosik KS, Church GM, et al. Identification of many microRNAs that copurify with polyribosomes in mammalian neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:360–5.
- [16] Kloosterman WP, Plasterk RH. The diverse functions of microRNAs in animal development and disease. Dev Cell 2006;11:441–50.
- [17] Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Yalcin A, Meyer J, Lendeckel J, Tuschl T. Identification of tissue-specific microRNAs from mouse. Curr Biol 2002;12:735–9.
- [18] Magariños AM, McEwen BS. Stress-induced atrophy of apical dendrites of hippocampal CA3c neurons: involvement of glucocorticoid secretion and excitatory amino acid receptors. Neuroscience 1995;69:89–98.
- [19] Mansouri FA, Tanaka K, Buckley MJ. Conflict-induced behavioural adjustment: a clue to the executive functions of the prefrontal cortex. Nat Rev Neurosci 2009;10:141–52.
- [20] McEwen BS. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. Physiol Rev 2007;87:873–904.
- [21] McEwen BS. Stress and hippocampal plasticity. Annu Rev Neurosci 1999;22: 105–22.
- [22] Melia KR, Ryabinin AE, Schroeder R, Bloom FE, Wilson MC. Induction and habituation of immediate early gene expression in rat brain by acute and repeated restraint stress. J Neurosci 1994;14:5929–38.
- [23] Nacher J, Gomez-Climent MA, McEwen B. Chronic non-invasive glucocorticoid administration decreases polysialylated neural cell adhesion molecule expression in the adult rat dentate gyrus. Neurosci Lett 2004;370:40–4.
- [24] Nestler EJ, Barrot M, DiLeone RJ, Eisch AJ, Gold SJ, Monteggia LM. Neurobiology of depression. Neuron 2002;34:13–25.
- [25] Ons S, Marti O, Armario A. Stress-induced activation of the immediate early gene Arc (activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein) is restricted to telencephalic areas in the rat brain: relationship to c-fos mRNA. J Neurochem 2004;89:1111–8.
- [26] Pham K, Nacher J, Hof PR, McEwen BS. Repeated restraint stress suppresses neurogenesis and induces biphasic PSA-NCAM expression in the adult rat dentate gyrus. Eur J Neurosci 2003;17:879–86.
- [27] Sandi C, Merino JJ, Corsero MI, Touyarot K, Venero C. Effects of chronic stress on contextual fear conditioning and the hippocampal expression of the neural cell adhesion molecule, its polysialylation, and L1. Neuroscience 2001;102:329–39.
- [28] Steward O, Levy WB. Preferential localization of polyribosomes under the base of dendritic spines in granule cells of the dentate gyrus. J Neurosci 1982;2:284–91.
- [29] Steward O, Schuman EM. Protein synthesis at synaptic sites on dendrites. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001;24:299–325.

- [30] Swaab DF, Bao AM, Lucassen PJ. The stress system in the human brain in depression and neurodegeneration. Ageing Res Rev 2005;4:141–94.
- [31] Uchida S, Nishida A, Hara K, Kamemoto T, Suetsugi M, Fujimoto M, et al. Characterization of the vulnerability to repeated stress in Fischer 344 rats: possible involvement of microRNA-mediated down-regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor. Eur J Neurosci 2008;27:2250–61.
- [32] Venero C, Tilling T, Hermans-Borgmeyer I, Schmidt R, Schachner M, Sandi C. Chronic stress induces opposite changes in the mRNA expression of the cell adhesion molecules NCAM and L1. Neuroscience 2002;115:1211–9.
- [33] Vreugdenhil E, Verissimo CS, Mariman R, Kamphorst JT, Barbosa JS, Zweers T, et al. MicroRNA 18 and 124a down-regulate the glucocorticoid receptor: implications for glucocorticoid responsiveness in the brain. Endocrinology 2009;150:2220–8.
- [34] Xu H, He J, Richardson JS, Li XM. The response of synaptophysin and microtubule-associated protein 1 to restraint stress in rat hippocampus and its modulation by venlafaxine. J Neurochem 2004;91: 1380-8.